

Around the Schwarz lemma

Gadadhar Misra
together with
Deepak Pradhan

May 26, 2022

Indian Statistical Institute
Bangalore
And
Indian Institute of Technology
Gandhinagar



the Schwarz lemma

the automorphism group of the unit disc

Ahlfors' version of the Schwarz lemma

applications



the Schwarz lemma

the Schwarz Lemma

Let $B(0,r) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < r\}$ be the open disc of radius r and $B[0,r]$ be closed disc of radius r .

Schwarz Lemma: Suppose that $f: B(0,1) \rightarrow B(0,1)$ is a holomorphic function with $f(0) = 0$. Then

- (i) $|f(z)| \leq |z|, \forall z \in B(0,1)$
- (ii) $|f'(0)| \leq 1$
- (iii) If these values are not 0, or 1, such that $|f'(0)| = |f(z)| = |z|$ or $|f'(0)| = 1$, then f must be of the form $f(z) = e^{i\theta} z$ for some θ with $|\theta| = 1$.

The Proof of the Schwarz lemma is an immediate Consequence of the Maximum modulus principle.



the Schwarz Lemma

Let $B(0,r) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < r\}$ be the open disc of radius r and $B[0,r]$ be closed disc of radius r .

Schwarz Lemma: Suppose that $f : B(0,1) \rightarrow B(0,1)$ is a holomorphic function with $f(0) = 0$. Then

- (i) $|f(z)| \leq |z|, z \in B(0,1)$
- (ii) $|f'(0)| \leq 1$
- (iii) If there exists $z_0 \in B(0,1), z_0 \neq 0$ such that $|f(z_0)| = |z_0|$ or $|f'(0)| = 1$, then f must be of the form $f(z) = cz, z \in B(0,1)$, for some c with $|c| = 1$.

The Proof of the Schwarz lemma is an immediate Consequence of the Maximum modulus principle.



the Schwarz Lemma

Let $B(0,r) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < r\}$ be the open disc of radius r and $B[0,r]$ be closed disc of radius r .

Schwarz Lemma: Suppose that $f : B(0,1) \rightarrow B(0,1)$ is a holomorphic function with $f(0) = 0$. Then

- (i) $|f(z)| \leq |z|, z \in B(0,1)$
- (ii) $|f'(0)| \leq 1$
- (iii) If there exists $z_0 \in B(0,1), z_0 \neq 0$ such that $|f(z_0)| = |z_0|$ or $|f'(0)| = 1$, then f must be of the form $f(z) = cz, z \in B(0,1)$, for some c with $|c| = 1$.

The Proof of the Schwarz lemma is an immediate Consequence of the Maximum modulus principle.



the Schwarz Lemma

Let $B(0,r) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < r\}$ be the open disc of radius r and $B[0,r]$ be closed disc of radius r .

Schwarz Lemma: Suppose that $f : B(0,1) \rightarrow B(0,1)$ is a holomorphic function with $f(0) = 0$. Then

(i) $|f(z)| \leq |z|, z \in B(0,1)$

(ii) $|f'(0)| \leq 1$

(iii) If there exists $z_0 \in B(0,1), z_0 \neq 0$ such that $|f(z_0)| = |z_0|$ or $|f'(0)| = 1$, then f must be of the form $f(z) = cz, z \in B(0,1)$, for some c with $|c| = 1$.

The Proof of the Schwarz lemma is an immediate Consequence of the Maximum modulus principle.



the Schwarz Lemma

Let $B(0,r) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < r\}$ be the open disc of radius r and $B[0,r]$ be closed disc of radius r .

Schwarz Lemma: Suppose that $f : B(0,1) \rightarrow B(0,1)$ is a holomorphic function with $f(0) = 0$. Then

- (i) $|f(z)| \leq |z|, z \in B(0,1)$
- (ii) $|f'(0)| \leq 1$
- (iii) If there exists $z_0 \in B(0,1), z_0 \neq 0$ such that $|f(z_0)| = |z_0|$ or $|f'(0)| = 1$, then f must be of the form $f(z) = cz, z \in B(0,1)$, for some c with $|c| = 1$.

The Proof of the Schwarz lemma is an immediate Consequence of the Maximum modulus principle.



the Schwarz Lemma

Let $B(0,r) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < r\}$ be the open disc of radius r and $B[0,r]$ be closed disc of radius r .

Schwarz Lemma: Suppose that $f : B(0,1) \rightarrow B(0,1)$ is a holomorphic function with $f(0) = 0$. Then

- (i) $|f(z)| \leq |z|, z \in B(0,1)$
- (ii) $|f'(0)| \leq 1$
- (iii) If there exists $z_0 \in B(0,1), z_0 \neq 0$ such that $|f(z_0)| = |z_0|$ or $|f'(0)| = 1$, then f must be of the form $f(z) = cz, z \in B(0,1)$, for some c with $|c| = 1$.

The Proof of the Schwarz lemma is an immediate Consequence of the Maximum modulus principle.



maximum modulus principle

The maximum modulus principle: Suppose that Ω is a bounded domain (open and connected) in \mathbb{C} , f is a holomorphic function on Ω , and $B[a, r] \subseteq \Omega$. Then

$$|f(a)| \leq \sup\{|f(a + re^{i\theta})| : \theta \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Equality occurs if and only if f is constant.

Proof: Assume that $|f(a + re^{i\theta})| \leq |f(a)|$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the holomorphic function f has a power series expansion

$$f(z) = \sum c_n (z-a)^n, \quad z \in B(a, R),$$

and if $0 < r < R$, we have (Parseval's formula)

$$\sum |c_n|^2 r^{2n} = 1/2\pi \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(a + re^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta.$$



maximum modulus principle

The maximum modulus principle: Suppose that Ω is a bounded domain (open and connected) in \mathbb{C} , f is a holomorphic function on Ω , and $B[a, r] \subseteq \Omega$. Then

$$|f(a)| \leq \sup\{|f(a + re^{i\theta})| : \theta \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Equality occurs if and only if f is constant.

Proof: Assume that $|f(a + re^{i\theta})| \leq |f(a)|$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the holomorphic function f has a power series expansion

$$f(z) = \sum c_n (z-a)^n, \quad z \in B(a, R),$$

and if $0 < r < R$, we have (Parseval's formula)

$$\sum |c_n|^2 r^{2n} = 1/2\pi \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(a + re^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta.$$



proof of the Schwarz lemma

It follows that

$$\sum |c_n|^2 r^{2n} \leq |f(a)|^2 = |c_0|^2.$$

Hence $0 = c_1 = c_2 = \dots$, implying $f(z) = f(a)$, $z \in B(a, r)$. Since Ω is connected, it follows f must be a constant.

Proof of the Schwarz Lemma: Since $f(0) = 0$, we have $a_0 = 0$, $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$, $z \in B(0, 1)$. Let $h(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^{n-1}$, $z \in B(0, 1)$. Then h is holomorphic on $B(0, 1)$ and $f(z) = zh(z)$, $z \in B(0, 1)$. By the maximum modulus theorem

$$\sup\{|h(z)| : |z| \leq r\} = \sup\{|h(z)| : |z| = r\} = \frac{1}{r} \sup\{|f(z)| : |z| \leq r\}$$

for all r , $0 < r < 1$. Since $|f(z)| \leq 1$ for all $z \in B(0, 1)$ we get, on letting $r \rightarrow 1$, that $\sup\{|h(z)|; z \in B(0, 1)\} \leq 1$. Hence $|f(z)| \leq |z|$ for all $z \in B(0, 1)$. This completes the proof of (i).



proof of the Schwarz lemma

It follows that

$$\sum |c_n|^2 r^{2n} \leq |f(a)|^2 = |c_0|^2.$$

Hence $0 = c_1 = c_2 = \dots$, implying $f(z) = f(a)$, $z \in B(a, r)$. Since Ω is connected, it follows f must be a constant.

Proof of the Schwarz Lemma: Since $f(0) = 0$, we have $a_0 = 0$, $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$, $z \in B(0, 1)$. Let $h(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^{n-1}$, $z \in B(0, 1)$. Then h is holomorphic on $B(0, 1)$ and $f(z) = zh(z)$, $z \in B(0, 1)$. By the maximum modulus theorem

$$\sup\{|h(z)| : |z| \leq r\} = \sup\{|h(z)| : |z| = r\} = \frac{1}{r} \sup\{|f(z)| : |z| \leq r\}$$

for all r , $0 < r < 1$. Since $|f(z)| \leq 1$ for all $z \in B(0, 1)$ we get, on letting $r \rightarrow 1$, that $\sup\{|h(z)|; z \in B(0, 1)\} \leq 1$. Hence $|f(z)| \leq |z|$ for all $z \in B(0, 1)$. This completes the proof of (i).



proof of the Schwarz lemma

Moreover, if $|f(z_0)| = |z_0|$ for some $z_0 \neq 0$, then $|h(z_0)| = 1$ and, by the maximum modulus theorem, h is a constant function of modulus 1, that is, there exists $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(z) = zh(z) = e^{i\theta}z$, $z \in B(0,1)$.

Since $\frac{f(z)}{z} = h(z)$ for $z \in B(0,1) \setminus \{0\}$ and $f(0) = 0$ it follows that

$$|f'(0)| = \lim_{\substack{z \rightarrow 0 \\ z \neq 0}} \frac{|f(z)|}{|z|} = \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} |h(z)| = |h(0)| \leq 1.$$

If $|f'(0)| = |h(0)| = 1$, then by the maximum modulus theorem, h is a constant function of modulus 1 and as before $f(z) = e^{i\theta}z$, $z \in B(0,1)$.



proof of the Schwarz lemma

Moreover, if $|f(z_0)| = |z_0|$ for some $z_0 \neq 0$, then $|h(z_0)| = 1$ and, by the maximum modulus theorem, h is a constant function of modulus 1, that is, there exists $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(z) = zh(z) = e^{i\theta}z$, $z \in B(0,1)$.

Since $\frac{f(z)}{z} = h(z)$ for $z \in B(0,1) \setminus \{0\}$ and $f(0) = 0$ it follows that

$$|f'(0)| = \lim_{\substack{z \rightarrow 0 \\ z \neq 0}} \frac{|f(z)|}{|z|} = \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} |h(z)| = |h(0)| \leq 1.$$

If $|f'(0)| = |h(0)| = 1$, then by the maximum modulus theorem, h is a constant function of modulus 1 and as before $f(z) = e^{i\theta}z$, $z \in B(0,1)$.



automorphisms of the disc

Theorem: For a fixed $\alpha \in B(0,1)$, $\varphi_\alpha(z) := \frac{z-\alpha}{1-\bar{\alpha}z}$ is a rational function mapping $B(0,1)$ onto $B(0,1)$ and also $\partial B(0,1)$ onto $\partial B(0,1)$. It is one to one on $B[0,1]$. The inverse of φ_α is $\varphi_{-\alpha}$.

Proof: The function φ_α is holomorphic in the whole plane except for $z = 1/\bar{\alpha}$ which is outside $B[0,1]$. We see that $\varphi_{-\alpha}(\varphi_\alpha(z)) = z$. Thus φ_α is one-one and $\varphi_{-\alpha}$ is its inverse. If $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$\left| \frac{e^{it} - \alpha}{1 - \bar{\alpha}e^{it}} \right| = \left| \frac{e^{it} - \alpha}{e^{-it} - \bar{\alpha}} \right| = 1,$$

and we see that φ_α maps $\partial B(0,1)$ into itself. The same is true of $\varphi_{-\alpha}$ hence $\varphi_\alpha(\partial B[0,1]) = \partial B[0,1]$. Applying the maximum modulus principle, we conclude that $\varphi_\alpha(B(0,1)) \subseteq B(0,1)$. This is equally true of $\varphi_{-\alpha}$.



automorphisms of the disc

Theorem: For a fixed $\alpha \in B(0,1)$, $\varphi_\alpha(z) := \frac{z-\alpha}{1-\bar{\alpha}z}$ is a rational function mapping $B(0,1)$ onto $B(0,1)$ and also $\partial B(0,1)$ onto $\partial B(0,1)$. It is one to one on $B[0,1]$. The inverse of φ_α is $\varphi_{-\alpha}$.

Proof: The function φ_α is holomorphic in the whole plane except for $z = 1/\bar{\alpha}$ which is outside $B[0,1]$. We see that $\varphi_{-\alpha}(\varphi_\alpha(z)) = z$. Thus φ_α is one-one and $\varphi_{-\alpha}$ is its inverse. If $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$\left| \frac{e^{it} - \alpha}{1 - \bar{\alpha}e^{it}} \right| = \left| \frac{e^{it} - \alpha}{e^{-it} - \bar{\alpha}} \right| = 1,$$

and we see that φ_α maps $\partial B(0,1)$ into itself. The same is true of $\varphi_{-\alpha}$ hence $\varphi_\alpha(\partial B[0,1]) = \partial B[0,1]$. Applying the maximum modulus principle, we conclude that $\varphi_\alpha(B(0,1)) \subseteq B(0,1)$. This is equally true of $\varphi_{-\alpha}$.



Schwarz lemma, in general

Suppose that α, β are complex numbers; $|\alpha|, |\beta| < 1$

Question: How large can $|f'(\alpha)|$ be if $f: B(0,1) \rightarrow B(0,1)$ and $f(\alpha) = \beta$?

Answer: $|f'(\alpha)| \leq \frac{1-|\beta|^2}{1-|\alpha|^2}$. To verify this, put $g = \varphi_\beta \circ f \circ \varphi_{-\alpha}$.

Since $\varphi_\beta, \varphi_\alpha: B(0,1) \rightarrow B(0,1)$, it follows that $g: B(0,1) \rightarrow B(0,1)$. Also, $g(0) = 0$. Thus $|g'(0)| \leq 1$ by the Schwarz lemma. Differentiating g using the chain rule, we have

$$g'(0) = \varphi'_\beta(\beta) f'(\alpha) \varphi'_{-\alpha}(0).$$

This verifies the correctness of our answer since

$$\varphi'_\alpha(0) = 1-|\alpha|^2, \quad \varphi'_\alpha(\alpha) = (1-|\alpha|^2)^{-1}.$$

Equality occurs if and only if $g(z) = cz$, for some $c: |c| = 1$.

Thus $f(z) = \varphi_{-\beta}(c\varphi_\alpha(z))$, $z \in B(0,1)$.



Schwarz lemma, in general

Suppose that α, β are complex numbers; $|\alpha|, |\beta| < 1$

Question: How large can $|f'(\alpha)|$ be if $f: B(0,1) \rightarrow B(0,1)$ and $f(\alpha) = \beta$?

Answer: $|f'(\alpha)| \leq \frac{1-|\beta|^2}{1-|\alpha|^2}$. To verify this, put $g = \varphi_\beta \circ f \circ \varphi_{-\alpha}$.

Since $\varphi_\beta, \varphi_\alpha: B(0,1) \rightarrow B(0,1)$, it follows that $g: B(0,1) \rightarrow B(0,1)$. Also, $g(0) = 0$. Thus $|g'(0)| \leq 1$ by the Schwarz lemma. Differentiating g using the chain rule, we have

$$g'(0) = \varphi'_\beta(\beta) f'(\alpha) \varphi'_{-\alpha}(0).$$

This verifies the correctness of our answer since

$$\varphi'_\alpha(0) = 1 - |\alpha|^2, \quad \varphi'_\alpha(\alpha) = (1 - |\alpha|^2)^{-1}.$$

Equality occurs if and only if $g(z) = cz$, for some $c: |c| = 1$.

Thus $f(z) = \varphi_{-\beta}(c\varphi_\alpha(z))$, $z \in B(0,1)$.



Schwarz lemma, in general

Suppose that α, β are complex numbers; $|\alpha|, |\beta| < 1$

Question: How large can $|f'(\alpha)|$ be if $f: B(0,1) \rightarrow B(0,1)$ and $f(\alpha) = \beta$?

Answer: $|f'(\alpha)| \leq \frac{1-|\beta|^2}{1-|\alpha|^2}$. To verify this, put $g = \varphi_\beta \circ f \circ \varphi_{-\alpha}$.

Since $\varphi_\beta, \varphi_\alpha: B(0,1) \rightarrow B(0,1)$, it follows that $g: B(0,1) \rightarrow B(0,1)$. Also, $g(0) = 0$. Thus $|g'(0)| \leq 1$ by the Schwarz lemma. Differentiating g using the chain rule, we have

$$g'(0) = \varphi'_\beta(\beta) f'(\alpha) \varphi'_{-\alpha}(0).$$

This verifies the correctness of our answer since

$$\varphi'_\alpha(0) = 1 - |\alpha|^2, \quad \varphi'_\alpha(\alpha) = (1 - |\alpha|^2)^{-1}.$$

Equality occurs if and only if $g(z) = cz$, for some $c: |c| = 1$.

Thus $f(z) = \varphi_{-\beta}(c\varphi_\alpha(z))$, $z \in B(0,1)$.



the automorphism group of
the unit disc

the automorphism group

A remarkable feature: f is a rational function, although no continuity assumption was made on f near the boundary.

Theorem: Suppose that f is a bijective holomorphic function on $B(0,1)$ and that $f(\alpha) = 0$. Then there exists a constant $c: |c| = 1$ such that

$$f(z) = c \varphi_{\alpha}(z), \quad z \in B(0,1).$$

Proof: Let g be the inverse of f , defined by $g(f(z)) = z$, $z \in B(0,1)$. Since f is one to one, f' has no zero in $B(0,1)$, so g defines a holomorphic function on $B(0,1)$. We have $|f'(\alpha)| \leq \frac{1}{1-|\alpha|^2}$, $|g'(0)| \leq 1-|\alpha|^2$. By the chain rule, $g'(0)f'(\alpha) = 1$. Since $g'(0)f'(\alpha) = 1$, therefore we must have $|f'(\alpha)| = \frac{1}{1-|\alpha|^2}$, $|g'(0)| = 1-|\alpha|^2$. Hence with $\beta = 0$, f must be of the form $c \varphi_{\alpha}$.



the automorphism group

A remarkable feature: f is a rational function, although no continuity assumption was made on f near the boundary.

Theorem: Suppose that f is a bijective holomorphic function on $B(0,1)$ and that $f(\alpha) = 0$. Then there exists a constant $c: |c| = 1$ such that

$$f(z) = c \varphi_{\alpha}(z), \quad z \in B(0,1).$$

Proof: Let g be the inverse of f , defined by $g(f(z)) = z$, $z \in B(0,1)$. Since f is one to one, f' has no zero in $B(0,1)$, so g defines a holomorphic function on $B(0,1)$. We have $|f'(\alpha)| \leq \frac{1}{1-|\alpha|^2}$, $|g'(0)| \leq 1-|\alpha|^2$. By the chain rule, $g'(0) f'(\alpha) = 1$. Since $g'(0) f'(\alpha) = 1$, therefore we must have $|f'(\alpha)| = \frac{1}{1-|\alpha|^2}$, $|g'(0)| = 1-|\alpha|^2$. Hence with $\beta = 0$, f must be of the form $c \varphi_{\alpha}$.



distance decreasing

Let $f: B(0,1) \rightarrow B(0,1)$ be holomorphic. Then for any $a, b \in B(0,1)$, $\left| \frac{f(a)-f(b)}{1-\overline{f(a)}f(b)} \right| \leq \left| \frac{a-b}{1-\overline{a}b} \right|$.

In particular, $\frac{|f'(z)|}{1-|f(z)|^2} \leq \frac{1}{1-|z|^2}$ for all $z \in B(0,1)$.

Riemannian Metric: A C^2 function $\varphi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ defined on an open connected subset Ω of \mathbb{C} , is said to be a Riemannian metric. If $f: B(0,1) \rightarrow B(0,1)$ is holomorphic, then it is distance decreasing with respect to the Poincare metric: $\rho(z) := \frac{1}{1-|z|^2}$ defined on $B(0,1)$, that is, $f^*(\rho) \leq \rho$.

Here for any metric $\varphi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ on Ω , and any C^2 -function $f: \tilde{\Omega} \rightarrow \Omega$, the pull-back $f^*\varphi$ is

$$(f^*\varphi)(z) := |f'(z)| \varphi(f(z))$$

and it defines a metric on $\tilde{\Omega}$.



distance decreasing

Let $f: B(0,1) \rightarrow B(0,1)$ be holomorphic. Then for any $a, b \in B(0,1)$, $\left| \frac{f(a)-f(b)}{1-\overline{f(a)}f(b)} \right| \leq \left| \frac{a-b}{1-\overline{a}b} \right|$.

In particular, $\frac{|f'(z)|}{1-|f(z)|^2} \leq \frac{1}{1-|z|^2}$ for all $z \in B(0,1)$.

Riemannian Metric: A C^2 function $\varphi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ defined on an open connected subset Ω of \mathbb{C} , is said to be a Riemannian metric. If $f: B(0,1) \rightarrow B(0,1)$ is holomorphic, then it is distance decreasing with respect to the Poincare metric: $\rho(z) := \frac{1}{1-|z|^2}$ defined on $B(0,1)$, that is, $f^*(\rho) \leq \rho$.

Here for any metric $\varphi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ on Ω , and any C^2 -function $f: \tilde{\Omega} \rightarrow \Omega$, the pull-back $f^*\varphi$ is

$$(f^*\varphi)(z) := |f'(z)| \varphi(f(z))$$

and it defines a metric on $\tilde{\Omega}$.



distance decreasing

Let $f: B(0,1) \rightarrow B(0,1)$ be holomorphic. Then for any $a, b \in B(0,1)$, $\left| \frac{f(a)-f(b)}{1-\overline{f(a)}f(b)} \right| \leq \left| \frac{a-b}{1-\overline{a}b} \right|$.

In particular, $\frac{|f'(z)|}{1-|f(z)|^2} \leq \frac{1}{1-|z|^2}$ for all $z \in B(0,1)$.

Riemannian Metric: A C^2 function $\varphi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ defined on an open connected subset Ω of \mathbb{C} , is said to be a **Riemannian metric**. If $f: B(0,1) \rightarrow B(0,1)$ is holomorphic, then it is distance decreasing with respect to the Poincare metric: $\rho(z) := \frac{1}{1-|z|^2}$ defined on $B(0,1)$, that is, $f^*(\rho) \leq \rho$.

Here for any metric $\varphi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ on Ω , and any C^2 -function $f: \tilde{\Omega} \rightarrow \Omega$, the pull-back $f^*\varphi$ is

$$(f^*\varphi)(z) := |f'(z)| \varphi(f(z))$$

and it defines a metric on $\tilde{\Omega}$.



distance decreasing

Let $f : B(0,1) \rightarrow B(0,1)$ be holomorphic. Then for any $a, b \in B(0,1)$, $\left| \frac{f(a)-f(b)}{1-\overline{f(a)}f(b)} \right| \leq \left| \frac{a-b}{1-\overline{a}b} \right|$.

In particular, $\frac{|f'(z)|}{1-|f(z)|^2} \leq \frac{1}{1-|z|^2}$ for all $z \in B(0,1)$.

Riemannian Metric: A C^2 function $\varphi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ defined on an open connected subset Ω of \mathbb{C} , is said to be a **Riemannian metric**. If $f : B(0,1) \rightarrow B(0,1)$ is holomorphic, then it is distance decreasing with respect to the Poincare metric: $\rho(z) := \frac{1}{1-|z|^2}$ defined on $B(0,1)$, that is, $f^*(\rho) \leq \rho$.

Here for any metric $\varphi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ on Ω , and any C^2 -function $f : \tilde{\Omega} \rightarrow \Omega$, the pull-back $f^*\varphi$ is

$$(f^*\varphi)(z) := |f'(z)| \varphi(f(z))$$

and it defines a metric on $\tilde{\Omega}$.



Ahlfors' version of the
Schwarz lemma

Ahlfors' Schwarz lemma

The Gaussian curvature of a Riemannian metric φ^2 is defined to be

$$K_\varphi(z) = -\varphi(z)^{-2} \Delta \log \varphi(z).$$

Claim: We will verify that any holomorphic function $f: \Omega \rightarrow B(0, r)$ defines a metric f^*p_r of constant negative curvature on $\Omega \setminus \{f' = 0\}$, where $p_r(z) := \frac{r}{r^2 - |z|^2}$ is the Poincaré metric of $B(0, r)$ and

$$(f^*p_r)(z) := \frac{r|f'(z)|}{r^2 - |f(z)|^2}, \quad z \in \Omega \setminus \{f' = 0\}.$$

Ahlfors' Lemma: Let $\varphi \geq 0$ be a continuous function on $B(0, 1)$. Assume that φ is C^2 on the open set $D_\varphi := \{\varphi > 0\}$. Suppose $K_\varphi \leq -\eta$, on D_φ for some $\eta > 0$. Then

$$f^*(\varphi)(z) \leq \frac{4}{\eta} \frac{1}{1 - |z|^2}, \quad z \in B(0, 1).$$



Ahlfors' Schwarz lemma

The Gaussian curvature of a Riemannian metric φ^2 is defined to be

$$K_\varphi(z) = -\varphi(z)^{-2} \Delta \log \varphi(z).$$

Claim: We will verify that any holomorphic function $f: \Omega \rightarrow B(0, r)$ defines a metric f^*p_r of constant negative curvature on $\Omega \setminus \{f' = 0\}$, where $p_r(z) := \frac{r}{r^2 - |z|^2}$ is the Poincaré metric of $B(0, r)$ and

$$(f^*p_r)(z) := \frac{r|f'(z)|}{r^2 - |f(z)|^2}, \quad z \in \Omega \setminus \{f' = 0\}.$$

Ahlfors' Lemma: Let $\varphi \geq 0$ be a continuous function on $B(0, 1)$. Assume that φ is C^2 on the open set $D_\varphi := \{\varphi > 0\}$. Suppose $K_\varphi \leq -\eta$, on D_φ for some $\eta > 0$. Then

$$f^*(\varphi)(z) \leq \frac{4}{\eta} \frac{1}{1 - |z|^2}, \quad z \in B(0, 1).$$



Ahlfors' Schwarz lemma

The Gaussian curvature of a Riemannian metric φ^2 is defined to be

$$K_\varphi(z) = -\varphi(z)^{-2} \Delta \log \varphi(z).$$

Claim: We will verify that any holomorphic function $f: \Omega \rightarrow B(0, r)$ defines a metric f^*p_r of constant negative curvature on $\Omega \setminus \{f' = 0\}$, where $p_r(z) := \frac{r}{r^2 - |z|^2}$ is the Poincare metric of $B(0, r)$ and

$$(f^*p_r)(z) := \frac{r|f'(z)|}{r^2 - |f(z)|^2}, \quad z \in \Omega \setminus \{f' = 0\}.$$

Ahlfors' Lemma: Let $\varphi \geq 0$ be a continuous function on $B(0, 1)$. Assume that φ is C^2 on the open set $D_\varphi := \{\varphi > 0\}$.

Suppose $K_\varphi \leq -\eta$, on D_φ for some $\eta > 0$. Then

$$f^*(\varphi)(z) \leq \frac{4}{\eta} \frac{1}{1 - |z|^2}, \quad z \in B(0, 1).$$



Proof of the claim: For a holomorphic function f defined on an open connected set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ with $|f(z)| \leq r$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta \log(r^2 - |f|^2)^{-1} &= -4 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \bar{z} \partial z} \log(r^2 - |f|^2) \\
 &= 4 \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \left(\frac{\bar{f} f'}{r^2 - |f|^2} \right) \\
 &= 4 f' \left(\frac{\bar{f}'}{r^2 - |f|^2} + \frac{\bar{f} f \bar{f}'}{(r^2 - |f|^2)^2} \right) \\
 &= 4 |f'|^2 \left(\frac{r^2 - |f|^2 + |f|^2}{(r^2 - |f|^2)^2} \right) \\
 &= 4 \left(\frac{r |f'|}{r^2 - |f|^2} \right)^2
 \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\Delta \log \frac{r}{r^2 - |z|^2} = 4 \left(\frac{r}{r^2 - |z|^2} \right)^2, \quad |z| < r.$$



Proof of the claim: For a holomorphic function f defined on an open connected set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ with $|f(z)| \leq r$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta \log(r^2 - |f|^2)^{-1} &= -4 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \bar{z} \partial z} \log(r^2 - |f|^2) \\
 &= 4 \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \left(\frac{\bar{f} f'}{r^2 - |f|^2} \right) \\
 &= 4 f' \left(\frac{\bar{f}'}{r^2 - |f|^2} + \frac{\bar{f} f \bar{f}'}{(r^2 - |f|^2)^2} \right) \\
 &= 4 |f'|^2 \left(\frac{r^2 - |f|^2 + |f|^2}{(r^2 - |f|^2)^2} \right) \\
 &= 4 \left(\frac{r |f'|}{r^2 - |f|^2} \right)^2
 \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\Delta \log \frac{r}{r^2 - |z|^2} = 4 \left(\frac{r}{r^2 - |z|^2} \right)^2, \quad |z| < r.$$



proof of Ahlfors' lemma

It is easy, using the chain rule, to verify that $\varphi \in N\mathcal{C}(\tilde{\Omega})$ implies $f^*\varphi = |f'|(\varphi \circ f)$ is in $N\mathcal{C}(\Omega)$ if $f: \Omega \rightarrow \tilde{\Omega}$ is a holomorphic maps of open connected sets in \mathbb{C} .

Fix $\zeta \in \mathbb{D}$, and let $r \in (|\zeta|, 1)$. Put $p_r(z) = \frac{r}{r^2 - |z|^2}$ on $B(0, r)$. Since $p_r(z) \rightarrow \infty$ as $|z| \rightarrow r$ and $f^*\varphi$ is continuous on $B[0, r]$, it is clear that the function $\psi := \frac{f^*\varphi}{p_r}$ attain its maximum on $B(0, r)$ at some $\xi \in B(0, r)$. If $(f^*\varphi)(\xi) = 0$, then $\varphi \equiv 0$. Hence we may assume that $\xi \in D_\varphi$. Then ξ is also a local maximum of $\log \psi$, and it follows that $\Delta \log \psi \leq 0$ at ξ . Now, at ξ :

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \Delta \log \psi = \Delta \log f^*\varphi - \Delta \log p_r \\ &\geq 4(f^*\varphi^2 - p_r^2), \end{aligned}$$

that is, $\psi(\xi) \leq 1$. Thus $f^*\varphi \leq p_r$ on $B(0, r)$. Letting $r \uparrow 1$, we conclude that $(f^*\varphi)(z) \leq \frac{1}{1-|p|^2}$, $z \in B(0, 1)$, as required.



proof of Ahlfors' lemma

It is easy, using the chain rule, to verify that $\varphi \in N\mathcal{C}(\tilde{\Omega})$ implies $f^*\varphi = |f'|(\varphi \circ f)$ is in $N\mathcal{C}(\Omega)$ if $f: \Omega \rightarrow \tilde{\Omega}$ is a holomorphic maps of open connected sets in \mathbb{C} .

Fix $\zeta \in \mathbb{D}$, and let $r \in (|\zeta|, 1)$. Put $p_r(z) = \frac{r}{r^2 - |z|^2}$ on $B(0, r)$. Since $p_r(z) \rightarrow \infty$ as $|z| \rightarrow r$ and $f^*\varphi$ is continuous on $B[0, r]$, it is clear that the function $\psi := \frac{f^*\varphi}{p_r}$ attain its maximum on $B(0, r)$ at some $\xi \in B(0, r)$. If $(f^*\varphi)(\xi) = 0$, then $\varphi \equiv 0$.

Hence we may assume that $\xi \in D_\varphi$. Then ξ is also a local maximum of $\log \psi$, and it follows that $\Delta \log \psi \leq 0$ at ξ . Now, at ξ :

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \Delta \log \psi = \Delta \log f^*\varphi - \Delta \log p_r \\ &\geq 4(f^*\varphi^2 - p_r^2), \end{aligned}$$

that is, $\psi(\xi) \leq 1$. Thus $f^*\varphi \leq p_r$ on $B(0, r)$. Letting $r \uparrow 1$, we conclude that $(f^*\varphi)(z) \leq \frac{1}{1-|p|^2}$, $z \in B(0, 1)$, as required.



proof of Ahlfors' lemma

It is easy, using the chain rule, to verify that $\varphi \in N\mathcal{C}(\tilde{\Omega})$ implies $f^*\varphi = |f'|(\varphi \circ f)$ is in $N\mathcal{C}(\Omega)$ if $f : \Omega \rightarrow \tilde{\Omega}$ is a holomorphic maps of open connected sets in \mathbb{C} .

Fix $\zeta \in \mathbb{D}$, and let $r \in (|\zeta|, 1)$. Put $p_r(z) = \frac{r}{r^2 - |z|^2}$ on $B(0, r)$.

Since $p_r(z) \rightarrow \infty$ as $|z| \rightarrow r$ and $f^*\varphi$ is continuous on $B[0, r]$, it is clear that the function $\psi := \frac{f^*\varphi}{p_r}$ attain its maximum on $B(0, r)$ at some $\xi \in B(0, r)$. If $(f^*\varphi)(\xi) = 0$, then $\varphi \equiv 0$.

Hence we may assume that $\xi \in D_\varphi$. Then ξ is also a local maximum of $\log \psi$, and it follows that $\Delta \log \psi \leq 0$ at ξ . Now, at ξ :

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \Delta \log \psi = \Delta \log f^*\varphi - \Delta \log p_r \\ &\geq 4(f^*\varphi^2 - p_r^2), \end{aligned}$$

that is, $\psi(\xi) \leq 1$. Thus $f^*\varphi \leq p_r$ on $B(0, r)$. Letting $r \uparrow 1$, we conclude that $(f^*\varphi)(z) \leq \frac{1}{1-|p|^2}$, $z \in B(0, 1)$, as required.



applications

Definition: For any open connected set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, let $N\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ denote the set of continuous functions $\varphi \geq 0$ on Ω such that φ is C^2 on $\{\varphi > 0\}$ and $\Delta \log \varphi \geq 4\varphi^2$ there.

As we have said before, using the chain rule, it is easy to verify:

Proposition: Suppose that $f: \Omega \rightarrow \tilde{\Omega}$ is a holomorphic maps of open connected sets in \mathbb{C} . Then $\varphi \in N\mathcal{E}(\tilde{\Omega})$ implies $f^*\varphi = |f'|(\varphi \circ f)$ is in $N\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$.

It follows from the Ahlfors lemma that $N\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{C}) = \{0\}$.

Verification: Pick φ in $N\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{C})$. Fix $a \in \mathbb{C}$. For any $r > |a|$, taking $f: B(0, r) \rightarrow B(0, r)$, $f(z) = z$, Ahlfors Lemma yields $(f^*\varphi)(a) = \varphi(a) \leq \frac{r}{r^2 - |a|^2}$. As $r \rightarrow \infty$, we see that $\varphi(a) = 0$.



Definition: For any open connected set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, let $N\mathcal{C}(\Omega)$ denote the set of continuous functions $\varphi \geq 0$ on Ω such that φ is C^2 on $\{\varphi > 0\}$ and $\Delta \log \varphi \geq 4\varphi^2$ there.

As we have said before, using the chain rule, it is easy to verify:

Proposition: Suppose that $f: \Omega \rightarrow \tilde{\Omega}$ is a holomorphic maps of open connected sets in \mathbb{C} . Then $\varphi \in N\mathcal{C}(\tilde{\Omega})$ implies $f^*\varphi = |f'|(\varphi \circ f)$ is in $N\mathcal{C}(\Omega)$.

It follows from the Ahlfors lemma that $N\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{C}) = \{0\}$.

Verification: Pick φ in $N\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{C})$. Fix $a \in \mathbb{C}$. For any $r > |a|$, taking $f: B(0, r) \rightarrow B(0, r)$, $f(z) = z$, Ahlfors Lemma yields $(f^*\varphi)(a) = \varphi(a) \leq \frac{r}{r^2 - |a|^2}$. As $r \rightarrow \infty$, we see that $\varphi(a) = 0$.



Definition: For any open connected set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, let $N\mathfrak{C}(\Omega)$ denote the set of continuous functions $\varphi \geq 0$ on Ω such that φ is C^2 on $\{\varphi > 0\}$ and $\Delta \log \varphi \geq 4\varphi^2$ there.

As we have said before, using the chain rule, it is easy to verify:

Proposition: Suppose that $f: \Omega \rightarrow \tilde{\Omega}$ is a holomorphic maps of open connected sets in \mathbb{C} . Then $\varphi \in N\mathfrak{C}(\tilde{\Omega})$ implies $f^*\varphi = |f'|(\varphi \circ f)$ is in $N\mathfrak{C}(\Omega)$.

It follows from the Ahlfors lemma that $N\mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{C}) = \{0\}$.

Verification: Pick φ in $N\mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{C})$. Fix $a \in \mathbb{C}$. For any $r > |a|$, taking $f: B(0, r) \rightarrow B(0, r)$, $f(z) = z$, Ahlfors Lemma yields $(f^*\varphi)(a) = \varphi(a) \leq \frac{r}{r^2 - |a|^2}$. As $r \rightarrow \infty$, we see that $\varphi(a) = 0$.



Definition: For any open connected set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, let $N\mathfrak{E}(\Omega)$ denote the set of continuous functions $\varphi \geq 0$ on Ω such that φ is C^2 on $\{\varphi > 0\}$ and $\Delta \log \varphi \geq 4\varphi^2$ there.

As we have said before, using the chain rule, it is easy to verify:

Proposition: Suppose that $f: \Omega \rightarrow \tilde{\Omega}$ is a holomorphic maps of open connected sets in \mathbb{C} . Then $\varphi \in N\mathfrak{E}(\tilde{\Omega})$ implies $f^*\varphi = |f'|(\varphi \circ f)$ is in $N\mathfrak{E}(\Omega)$.

It follows from the Ahlfors lemma that $N\mathfrak{E}(\mathbb{C}) = \{0\}$.

Verification: Pick φ in $N\mathfrak{E}(\mathbb{C})$. Fix $a \in \mathbb{C}$. For any $r > |a|$, taking $f: B(0, r) \rightarrow B(0, r)$, $f(z) = z$, Ahlfors Lemma yields $(f^*\varphi)(a) = \varphi(a) \leq \frac{r}{r^2 - |a|^2}$. As $r \rightarrow \infty$, we see that $\varphi(a) = 0$.



Corollary: Let $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \Omega$, $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, be a holomorphic function. If $N\mathcal{E}(\Omega) \neq \{0\}$, then f must be constant.

Liouville's theorem: As a corollary, taking $\Omega = B(0, M)$, we see that every bounded entire function must be a constant.

Picard's little theorem: Similarly, if $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\{0,1\}}$, where $\mathbb{C}_{\{0,1\}} := \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0,1\}$ is holomorphic, then f is constant.

proof: To verify this, all we need to do is show that $N\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{C}_{\{0,1\}}) \neq \{0\}$. The non-zero function

$$\varphi(z) = |z|^{\beta/2-1} |1-z|^{\beta/2-1} (1+|z|^\beta)(1+|z-1|)^\beta, \beta > 0,$$

is in $N\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{C}_{\{0,1\}})$ for $0 < \beta < 2/7$.



Corollary: Let $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \Omega$, $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, be a holomorphic function. If $N\mathfrak{C}(\Omega) \neq \{0\}$, then f must be constant.

Liouville's theorem: As a corollary, taking $\Omega = B(0, M)$, we see that every bounded entire function must be a constant.

Picard's little theorem: Similarly, if $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\{0,1\}}$, where $\mathbb{C}_{\{0,1\}} := \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0,1\}$ is holomorphic, then f is constant.

proof: To verify this, all we need to do is show that $N\mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{C}_{\{0,1\}}) \neq \{0\}$. The non-zero function

$$\varphi(z) = |z|^{\beta/2-1} |1-z|^{\beta/2-1} (1+|z|^\beta)(1+|z-1|)^\beta, \quad \beta > 0,$$

is in $N\mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{C}_{\{0,1\}})$ for $0 < \beta < 2/7$.



Corollary: Let $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \Omega$, $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, be a holomorphic function. If $N\mathcal{E}(\Omega) \neq \{0\}$, then f must be constant.

Liouville's theorem: As a corollary, taking $\Omega = B(0, M)$, we see that every bounded entire function must be a constant.

Picard's little theorem: Similarly, if $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\{0,1\}}$, where $\mathbb{C}_{\{0,1\}} := \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0,1\}$ is holomorphic, then f is constant.

proof: To verify this, all we need to do is show that $N\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{C}_{\{0,1\}}) \neq \{0\}$. The non-zero function

$$\varphi(z) = |z|^{\beta/2-1} |1-z|^{\beta/2-1} (1+|z|^\beta)(1+|z-1|)^\beta, \quad \beta > 0,$$

is in $N\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{C}_{\{0,1\}})$ for $0 < \beta < 2/7$.



Corollary: Let $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \Omega$, $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, be a holomorphic function. If $N\mathfrak{C}(\Omega) \neq \{0\}$, then f must be constant.

Liouville's theorem: As a corollary, taking $\Omega = B(0, M)$, we see that every bounded entire function must be a constant.

Picard's little theorem: Similarly, if $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\{0,1\}}$, where $\mathbb{C}_{\{0,1\}} := \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0,1\}$ is holomorphic, then f is constant.

proof: To verify this, all we need to do is show that $N\mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{C}_{\{0,1\}}) \neq \{0\}$. The non-zero function

$$\varphi(z) = |z|^{\beta/2-1} |1-z|^{\beta/2-1} (1+|z|^\beta)(1+|z-1|)^\beta, \quad \beta > 0,$$

is in $N\mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{C}_{\{0,1\}})$ for $0 < \beta < 2/7$.



Thank You!

